

Overview of Management and Different Aspects of Infant Colic

¹Ghaday Ibrahim Alyamani, ²Nieveen Abdullah Tunkar, ³Duha Adnan Fallatah, ⁴Arwa Saad Alzahrani

Abstract: Baby crying can be a cause of many illnesses one of the most common causes can be abdominal colic. Colic is commonly described as a behavioral syndrome in neonates and infants that is characterized by excessive, paroxysmal crying. Colic is most likely to occur in the evenings, and it occurs without any identifiable cause. This review study evaluated the management of colic abdomen in infants pediatric age group, Including diets, drug treatment, and behavioral interventions.

Data sources: Different study types were reviewed, Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, Meta-analysis, from Embase and MEDLINE databases till December 2017, in combination with bibliographies list of included studies.

Conclusions: Counseling and education to the family about abdominal colic can reduce maternal stress recommending the parents to ask for help from other caretakers for a short period. Due to the lack of understanding of the pathogenesis of abdominal colic and unproven treatment. We consider consistent follow-up and encourage parents to discuss their feelings.

Keywords: infant colic, pediatrics, management, prevention and Treatment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Infantile colic was originally defined by Wessel et al [1] in 1954 as "crying for 3 hrs a day, on at the very least 3 days a week, for at least 3 weeks." Although this interpretation has been since contested, [2] the presence of colic and its impact on infants and families continue. Lots of researches have been conducted to figure out the reason and management of colic. This article will review the current ideas for management of colic. Colic could start anytime from very early infancy to 4 to 5 months of age [3]. Crying associated with colic has been referred to as extreme, has the tendency to happen at nights, and has been reported to have a piercing quality [4]. Sometimes, colic has been connected with flushing of the face, attracting up of the legs, and passing gas [5].

In spite of over 40 years of research, the aetiology of infantile colic remains uncertain. Four major reasons arise from the literature. Firstly, infantile colic might be a trouble with the digestive tract in which excessive crying is the major symptom [6]. According to this view, excessive crying is the result of painful gut contractions triggered by allergy to cows' milk, lactose intolerance, or excess gas. Secondly, it may be a behavioural issue arising from a much less than optimal parent-infant interaction, with a difficult character of the infant as a feasible explanation for inadequate adult responses [7]. Thirdly, the excessive crying in a child with childish colic might be pertained to as simply the severe end of typical crying. Fourthly, infantile colic is simply a collection of aetiologically various entities that are hard to discern clinically [8].

Because of the many possible reasons, many interventions have been studied. The gut hypothesis has brought about interventions such as substituting cows' milk with soy milk or protein hydrolysate (hypoallergenic), low lactose, or fiber enriched formula milk; making use of herbal tea; and utilizing medicines to decrease uncomfortable intestine tightenings (dicyclomine) or the formation of intraluminal gas (simethicone). The behavioral theory has led to interventions such as changing parents' responsiveness, utilizing activity and sound to calm the child, and reducing stimuli.

Regardless of the favourable medical training course of childish colic- most infants are devoid of signs by the age of 4-5 months-- lots of moms and dads seek medical help. Additionally, although severe somatic problems are missing in the majority of instances, medical professionals and nurses think that they have to do something as a result of the difficulty parents are experiencing. Nonetheless, until now, it is unclear which of the treatments is one of the most efficient. Neither is it clear whether some babies will certainly benefit greater than others from a particular treatment.

The purpose of this review was to summarize the evidence regarding management for pediatric Infant Colic. The treatment of colic is discussed under the following subheadings: diet change, pharmacologic treatments, alternative therapies, and behavior modification.

2. METHODOLOGY

The Embase and MEDLINE databases were searched for English-language articles to December 2017, relating to the management and different aspects of infant colic. Searching done using following terms: “infant colic”, “pediatrics”, “management” together with other secondary terms which are: “prevention” and “Treatment”. Additional articles were identified from the bibliographies list of included studies. All study types were reviewed, Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, and Meta-analysis.

3. DISCUSSION

- **Definition:**

Colic in infants is defined as too much crying in an otherwise healthy and thriving baby. The crying generally starts in the first couple of weeks of life and usually deals with within 6 months. Extreme crying is specified as crying that lasts at the very least 3 hrs a day, for 3 days a week, for at the very least 3 weeks. As a result of the natural training course of infant colic, it could be difficult to analyze trials that do not consist of a placebo or have no treatment group for comparison.

- **Incidence/ Prevalence:**

Baby colic leads one in six families (17%) with children to seek advice from a health expert. One methodical evaluation of 15 community-based studies discovered a vast variant in frequency, which depended upon research style and technique of recording. 2 potential research studies determined by the testimonial produced frequency rates of 5% and 19%. One possible study (89 breast- and formula-fed babies) found that, at 2 weeks of age, the frequency of crying over 3 hrs a day was 43% among formula-fed infants and 16% among breastfed babies. The occurrence at 6 weeks was 12% amongst formula-fed babies and 31% among breastfed babies [9].

- **Aetiology/ Risk factors:**

The reason is unclear and, regardless of its name, infant colic could not have a stomach reason. It might reflect component of the regular distribution of childish crying. Other feasible explanations are excruciating digestive tightenings, or parental misinterpretation of regular crying [10].

- **Diet Change:**

Based on the theory that infantile colic is a gastrointestinal pathologic condition, several groups have checked out diet alteration to treat childish colic. The main concept resides in the payment of whey hydrosylate to infantile colic by causing excessive gas production from inadequate gut digestion. A prospective randomized regulated trial among 275 babies considered substituting standard formula plus simethicone pharmacotherapy with a formula of partially hydrolysed whey proteins, probiotic oligosaccharides (OS), and a high beta-palmitic acid material [12]. Ninety-six infants who were fed the brand-new formula had a substantial reduction in the variety of weeping episodes weekly (mean [SD], 1.76 [1.60] episodes in the therapy group vs 3.32 [2.06] episodes in the control group; $P < .001$). A 2nd test among 43 babies was a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial that demonstrated a significant reduction in crying time when babies were fed whey hydrosylate formula compared to standard formula [13]. Sobbing period was lowered by 63 (95% self-confidence period, 1-127) min/d. A third double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial put bottle-fed infants on a whey hydrosylate formula vs routine formula, and the moms of breast fed infants were asked to eat a hypoallergenic diet (free of milk, egg, wheat, and nuts) or a control diet regimen [14]. Mothers determined infant distress degrees utilizing verified distress charts. After changing for age and feeding mode, babies obtaining the treatment diet plans had a 39% (95% confidence interval, 26%-50%) reduction in distress vs a 16% (95% self-confidence interval, 0%-30%) reduction in distress among control

topics ($P = .01$). One research [14] attempted to particularly identify whether bovine whey protein was in charge of infantile colic. Twenty-four babies in a double-blind crossover research were fed capsules of bovine whey protein (therapy) or human albumin (placebo) within a hyperallergenic formula (Nutramigen; Mead Johnson Co, Evansville, Indiana). Daily crying durations were 3.2 hrs for the babies getting whey protein and 1.0 hour for those receiving placebo ($P < .001$).

Based upon these research studies, physicians suggest altering to formulas having whey hydrosylate for formula-fed infants, along with mother's nutritional alterations for nursed infants. Nevertheless, unique hypoallergenic formula feeds must be reserved for youngsters with real allergic reaction to cow's milk healthy protein, and the parents of youngsters with infantile colic need to be counseled appropriately.

• Pharmacologic Treatments:

Numerous tests have examined pharmacotherapy as a treatment of infantile colic. Pharmacologic agents are aimed at lowering intestinal pain, which has been in theory connected with infantile colic. Anticholinergic medicines such as dicyclomine hydrochloride and dicycloverine have been revealed to be efficient in reducing the boosted peristaltic cholinergic activity of the gut [6]. Regrettably, the damaging effect profile reported for these medications is significantly morbid. Negative impacts consist of loose bowel motions, unintentional overdose of the medicine, and the appearance of patients as dopey, wide-eyed, and exceedingly sleepy.

A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial reviewed the concept of administering an alternate anticholinergic to dicyclomine, specifically, cimetropium bromide [14]. Ninety-seven infants were consisted of in the study. The mean (SD) period of sobbing throughout crisis was 17.3 (12.6) mins in the treatment group vs 47.5 (28.5) minutes in the sugar pill group ($P < .005$). A placebo reaction was significant at $P < .05$. The main damaging impact noted in the therapy group vs the placebo group was increased sleepiness. Simethicone is an alternate pharmacologic agent that works as a cleaning agent to assist in gas bubbles within the gut to coalesce, as well as to reduce stomach distension and discomfort because of extreme gas manufacturing. Although the concept of decrease in discomfort makes intrinsic feeling, studies [15], [16] demonstrated that simethicone was not remarkable to placebo in minimizing symptoms of colic.

Dicyclomine and side results:

Dicyclomine works in treating infantile colic, but 5% of the treated infants had side impacts. The manufacturer reports breathing problems, seizures, syncope, asphyxia, muscle hypotonia, and coma as adverse effects [17]. On top of that, apnoea of short period was reported in two babies [17]. Although these adverse effects are probably unusual, there appears to be adequate reason not to make use of these compounds to manage infantile colic, a condition with a beneficial clinical training course and without serious somatic consequences.

• Alternative:

Therapies Probiotics, Glucose, and Herbal Remedies:

A number of alternative pharmacologic representatives have been assessed for their capacity to decrease signs and symptoms of infantile colic. A recent possible randomized research study [18] analyzed the effectiveness of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* vs simethicone in minimizing colic in 90 breastfed infants. Daily average crying periods were minimized from 159 mins to 51 minutes in the probiotic group and from 177 minutes to 145 minutes in the simethicone team. No damaging impacts were reported.

Another research [19] examined oral hypertonic sugar remedy vs sterile water for the therapy of colic in 25 babies in a randomized double-blind crossover test; outcomes were determined using parents' scores. The team getting sugar, 30%, had substantially less colic than the sugar pill team ($P = .03$). Two research studies analyzed whether herbal remedies were superior to placebo. In a study [20] of 93 breastfed infants, a considerable reduction in crying time of 85.4% in the therapy group was observed vs 48.9% in the control group ($P < .005$). A randomized placebocontrolled research study [21] analyzed whether fennel oil was superior to sugar pill and located that 63% of infants in the therapy team had a reaction to therapy vs 23.7% of infants in the control team ($P < .01$). There were no reported damaging effects in these 2 tests.

Spinal Manipulation:

Proof for the effectiveness of spine manipulation in managing infantile colic is inconclusive. A randomized controlled trial demonstrated that 32 of 46 babies (69.6%) in the treatment team and 24 of 40 babies (60.0%) in the control group showed

a response to therapy, but the effect of spine adjustment was statistically nonsignificant [22]. An additional study [23] of 50 patients randomized to spine adjustment or dimethicone research teams demonstrated a reduction in sobbing duration by 1 hour in the dimethicone group vs 2.7 hrs in the spine manipulation group ($P=.004$). Physicians need to beware regarding spinal adjustment in infants and ought to discourage family members from treating infantile colic with spinal control.

Behavior Modification:

Behavior modification for infantile colic is greatly based upon intervening with parents to give reassurance and to provide alternate behavior approaches for treating colic. A research study [24] analyzed the performance of setting up a home-based nursing treatment to lower adult stress conjured up from having a baby with colic. One hundred twenty-one infants were placed in intervention vs control teams. Parents in the therapy team reported dramatically reduced anxiety than parents in the control group based upon the parent-child dysfunctional communication subscale ($P=.04$). Investigations of infant intervention have compared baby massage therapy vs a crib vibrator for the treatment of colic [3]. Fifty-eight babies were randomly assigned to each study hall. Reductions in colic symptoms at 3 weeks were 67% in the massage therapy group and 61% in the baby crib vibrator team, a statistically nonsignificant distinction. A randomized controlled test of 3 treatments (counseling, auto trip, and control groups) were carried out among 38 infants [25]. The study groups had a consolidated decrease of mother's stress and anxiety by 18%, without any statistically significant impact in improving infantile colic.

Eliminating cows' milk protein:

Elimination of cows' milk protein works not only in extremely chosen subgroups of babies but additionally in medical care setups. This searching for contradicts previous evaluations [26]. Elimination of cows' milk protein raises the inquiry of which replacement to use—a soy or a healthy protein hydrolysate formula milk. If a hydrolysate is chosen should it be a whey or a casein hydrolysate?

Making use of soy formula milks is debatable. Although the American Academy of Pediatrics' board on nourishment advises against the use of soy healthy protein formula milks for regularly handling colic [27]. Businco et alia think that they are the ideal treatment for children with allergy to cows' milk moderated by IgE [28]. The debate versus soy formula milks is that infants with allergy to cows' milk are a lot more prone to establishing allergy to soya. Our testimonial does not develop the performance of soy formula milks in childish colic. Therefore, a healthy protein hydrolysate is the recommended therapy for colicky babies with allergic functions. Review contains just trials of casein hydrolysates, but whey healthy protein has a duty in childish colic [29], [30]. There seems to be little reason to reject whey healthy protein hydrolysates from the treatment of childish colic, offered that extensively hydrolysed preparations are utilized. A benefit of whey healthy protein hydrolysates over casein hydrolysates is their much better preference and beneficial cost. It is likely, but not shown, that infants that cry exceedingly and have several atopic functions benefit greater than those without atopy from the elimination of cows' milk protein.

Breast fed babies have comparable rates of colic as formula fed infants [33]. This might be since breast milk consists of intact proteins from cows' milk. To test the feasible function of cows' milk proteins, breast feeding moms of colicky babies are suggested to remove cows' milk from their diet and change it with soy [31] or hypoallergenic formula milk [32]. As already stated, the usage of soy is arguable as a result of the danger of establishing allergy to soy proteins.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, infantile colic is an easily identified childhood issue that has no clear treatment guidelines. Health care providers need to exclude hidden medical problems relative to extreme crying and concentrate on a holistic treatment technique for infantile colic. All babies need to have a complete medical assessment, including assessment of growth variables and development, as well as a detailed assessment to rule out various other medical problems. If an infant has blood in the feces, the maternal diet must be modified to exclude cow's milk. Calcium supplements to the mother need to be recommended for breastfed children. Formula-fed babies must get hypoallergenic solutions. The family members of youngsters with infantile colic ought to receive education about the illness (consisting of the self-limiting nature, the perceived pathogenesis, and the concept of altered perception to typical stimulations), in addition to a discussion of the various treatment choices (including dietary modifications). All parents should be counseled and encouraged to try behavior modification, which would certainly help in reducing maternal stress. Other therapy options such as probiotics, glucose water, or herbal remedies can be considered in nonresponders with serious symptoms.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wessel MA, Cobb JC, Jackson EB, Harris GS, Detwiler AC. Paroxysmal fussing in infancy, sometimes called "colic." *Pediatrics*. 1954;14:421-434.
- [2] St James-Roberts I. Persistent infant crying. *Arch Dis Child*. 1991;66(5):653-655.
- [3] Huhtala V, Lehtonen L, Heinonen R, Korvenranta H. Infant massage compared with crib vibrator in the treatment of colicky infants. *Pediatrics*. 2000;105(6):e84.
- [4] Lehtonen L, Rautava PT. Infantile colic: natural history and treatment. *Curr Probl Pediatr*. 1996;26(3):79-85.
- [5] Barr RG. Colic and gas. In: Walker WA, Durie PR, Hamilton JR eds. *Pediatric Gastrointestinal Disease: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management*. Philadelphia, PA: Decker; 1991:55-61.
- [6] Miller AR, Barr RG. Infantile colic. Is it a gut issue? *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 1991;38:1407-1423.
- [7] Carey WB. "Colic"—primary excessive crying as an infant-environment interaction. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 1984;31:993-1005.
- [8] Treem WR. Infant colic. A pediatric gastroenterologist's perspective. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 1994;41:1121-1138.
- [9] Dobson D, Lucassen PL, Miller JJ, et al. Manipulative therapies for infantile colic. In: *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 2, 2014. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Search date 2012.
- [10] Lucassen PL, Assendelft WJ, Gubbels JW, et al. Effectiveness of treatments for infantile colic: systematic review. *BMJ* 1998;316:1563-1569
- [11] Savino F, Palumeri E, Castagno E, et al. Reduction of crying episodes owing to infantile colic: a randomized controlled study on the efficacy of a new infant formula. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2006;60(11):1304-1310.
- [12] Lucassen PL, Assendelft WJ, Gubbels JW, van Eijk JT, Douwes AC. Infantile colic: crying time reduction with a whey hydrolysate: a double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106(6):1349-1354.
- [13] Hill DJ, Hudson IL, Sheffield LJ, Shelton MJ, Menahem S, Hosking CS. A low allergen diet is a significant intervention in infantile colic: results of a community-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1995;96(6, pt 1):886-892.
- [14] Lothe L, Lindberg T. Cow's milk whey protein elicits symptoms of infantile colic in colicky formula-fed infants: a double-blind crossover study. *Pediatrics*. 1989;83(2): 262-266.
- [15] Metcalf T, Irons TG, Sher LD, Young PC. Simethicone in the treatment of infant colic: a randomized, placebocontrolled, multicenter trial. *Pediatrics*. 1994;94(1): 29-34.
- [16] Danielsson B, Hwang CP. Treatment of infantile colic with surface active substance (simethicone). *Acta Paediatr Scand*. 1985;74(3):446-450.
- [17] Williams J, Watkin-Jones R. Dicyclomine: worrying symptoms associated with its use in some small babies. *BMJ*. 1984;288:901.
- [18] Savino F, Pelle E, Palumeri E, Oggero R, Miniero R. *Lactobacillus reuteri* (American Type Culture Collection Strain 55730) versus simethicone in the treatment of infantile colic: a prospective randomized study. *Pediatrics*. 2007; 119(1):e124-e130.
- [19] Akcam M, Yilmaz A. Oral hypertonic glucose solution in the treatment of infantile colic. *Pediatr Int*. 2006;48(2): 125-127.
- [20] Savino F, Cresi F, Castagno E, Silvestro L, Oggero R. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of a standardized extract of *Matricariae recutita*, *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Melissa officinalis* (ColiMil→) in the treatment of breastfed colicky infants. *Phytother Res*. 2005; 19(4):335-340.
- [21] Alexandrovich I, Rakovitskaya O, Kolmo E, Sidorova T, Shushunov S. The effect of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed oil emulsion in infantile colic: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Altern Ther Health Med*. 2003; 9(4):58-61.

- [22] Olafsdottir E, Forshei S, Fluge G, Markestad T. Randomised controlled trial of infantile colic treated with chiropractic spinal manipulation. *Arch Dis Child*. 2001;84(2):138-141.
- [23] Wiberg JM, Nordsteen J, Nilsson N. The short-term effect of spinal manipulation in the treatment of infantile colic: a randomized controlled clinical trial with a blinded observer. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 1999;22(8):517-522.
- [24] Keefe MR, Kajrlsen KA, Lobo ML, Kotzer AM, Dudley WN. Reducing parenting stress in families with irritable infants. *Nursing Res*. 2006;55(3):198-205.
- [25] Parkin PC, Schwartz CJ, Manuel BA. Randomized controlled trial of three interventions in the management of crying of infancy. *Pediatrics*. 1993;92(2):197-201.
- [26] Wolke D. The treatment of problem crying behavior. In: St James-Roberts I, Harris G, Messer D, editors. *Infant crying, feeding and sleeping*. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf; 1993. pp. 47–79.
- [27] American Academy of Pediatrics; Committee on Nutrition. Soy protein formulas: recommendations for use in infant feeding. *Pediatrics*. 1983;72:359–363.
- [28] Businco L, Bruno G, Giampietro PG, Cantani A. Allergenicity and nutritional adequacy of soy protein formulas. *J Pediatr*. 1992;121:S21–S28.
- [29] Jakobsson I, Lindberg T. Cow's milk proteins cause infantile colic in breast-fed infants: a double-blind crossover study. *Pediatrics*. 1983;71:268–271.
- [30] Lothe L, Lindberg T. Cow's milk whey protein elicits symptoms of infantile colic in colicky formula-fed infants: a double-blind crossover study. *Pediatrics*. 1989;83:262–266.
- [31] Evans RW, Fergusson DM, Allardyce RA, Taylor B. Maternal diet and infantile colic in breast-fed infants. *Lancet*. 1981;i:1340–1342.
- [32] Hill DJ, Hudson IL, Sheffield LJ, Shelton MJ, Menahem S, Hosking CS. A low allergen diet is a significant intervention in infantile colic: results of a community-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 1995;96:886–892.
- [33] Barr RG. Colic and gas. In: Walker WA, Durie PR, Hamilton JR, editors. *Pediatric gastrointestinal disease: pathophysiology, diagnosis and management*. Philadelphia: Decker; 1991. pp. 55–61.